

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/030,817	01/14/2002 590 05/05/2004		Yoshihiro Naruse	IPE-016	2417
7:				EXAMINER	INER
Kubovcik & I			YOON, TAE H		
The Farragut Building 900 17th Street N W				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 710 Washington, DC 20006				1714 DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

▼	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/030,817	NARUSE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tae H Yoon	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	<u>nn. 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-27 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• /					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· ·	• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-21, drawn to a polyester fiber having silica based inorganic particles.

Group II, claim(s) 22-27, drawn to a method of making a polyester composition having silica based inorganic particles and other particles.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: other particles of Group II is not required in Group II and the fiber of Group II is not required in Group II.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Kubovcik on April 19, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 22-27 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The definition of V recited in claims 1 is not defined and thus claim is indefinite.

The recited "the phosphoric compound" in claim 19 and "the antimony content" in claim 21 lack antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 08-113827 in view of Bailey (US 4,699,627) or Antikow et al (US 5,207,959).

JP teaches moisture-conditioning polyester fiber in abstract wherein a hard segment (alkylene terephthalate repeating units) and a soft segement (polyether-ester) and silica gel are seen. Said silica gel has the instant properties A and B as evidenced by the teaching in [0031], and the instant property C is an inherent property since JP

Art Unit: 1714

teaches fiber has both characteristics of moisture absorption and desorption in abstract.

A fine granular silica gel inherently meets the instant aspect ratio of 1.0 to 1.5.

The instant invention further recites a polyester over the polyester-ether of JP. However, fibers made of polyester such as polyethylene phthalate (PET) is well known as taught by Bailey (abstract and col. 1, lines 9-13) and Antikow et al (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art the time of invention to utilize the PET of Bailey or Antikow et al in JP since fibers made of PET or other (co)polyesters are well known in the art.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kamada et al (US 4,111,892) teach flame retardant polyester containing antimony compound and hydrated silica made by a wet method in abstract and at col. 4, lines 33-50.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1714

Thy/April 28, 2004